Case 2:23-cv-01043-JWH-KES Document 249-12 Filed 12/29/23 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:10233

Having considered Defendants' Rule 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss 1 2 Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, the Court GRANTS the Motion. MaxLinear, Inc. and its affiliates covenanted not to sue Defendants for alleged 3 infringement of the asserted patents. The assignment of the asserted patents by 4 MaxLinear, Inc., and its affiliate MaxLinear Communications LLC, to plaintiff, 5 Entropic Communications, LLC ("Entropic") was made subject to existing 6 encumbrances, including the covenant not to sue Defendants. Datatreasury Corp. v. 7 Wells Fargo & Co., 522 F.3d 1368, 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Plaintiff therefore lacks a 8 basis to bring these lawsuits. 9 Plaintiff's willfulness allegations are implausible because they fail to allege 10 facts from which it can be plausibly inferred that Comcast had knowledge of the 11 asserted patents and had knowledge that its alleged conduct constituted infringement 12 of the asserted patents. RJ Tech., LLC v. Apple, Inc., 2023 WL 3432237, at *8 (C.D. 13 Cal. Mar. 23, 2023). Accordingly, the Court grants Comcast's motion under Rule 14 12(b)(6) to dismiss Entropic's willfulness claims. 15 For these reasons, the Court GRANTS the Motion and dismisses the Second 16 Amended Complaint with prejudice under Rule 12(b)(1) and Rule 12(b)(6). 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 20 Dated: _____, 2024 21 22 Honorable John W. Holcomb United States District Judge 23 24 25 26 27 28